

# Detection of filamentous cyanobacteria blooms using imaging and pulse shape flow cytometry, and optical sensors

#### Kaisa Kraft

Finnish Environment Institute SYKE Marine Ecological Measurements unit 01.10.2024



Suomen ympäristöke Finlands miljöcentral Finnish Environment I Academy of Finland project

ININ SÄÄTIÖ





#### **Cyanobacteria blooms in the Baltic Sea**

- Cyanobacteria are important component of the ecosystem
  - O<sub>2</sub>-production, nutrient cycling, N<sub>2</sub>-fixation
- Basin-wide and recurrent phenomena
- Bloom development initiated mainly by
  - phosphorus availability of the surface water
  - calm, warm weather period
  - -> warming and shallowing of the surface layer above a seasonal thermocline
- Species composition varies -> some toxic
- Formation and dynamics cannot be resolved with traditional monitoring methods (light microscopy samples 1-2 times/month)
- Satellite images and optical sensors cannot resolve species composition

#### How do different methods compare in how they describe the blooms?



Dolichospermum spp. (A) Nodularia spumigena (B) Aphanizomenon flosaquae (C)







# **UTÖ MRS** joint station with **FMI**

- Underwater pump with inlet at 5 m depth, 250 m offshore
- Water distributed to different channels inside the station
- Represents pelagial community of a mixed surface layer
- Multiple parallel measurements from sea to atmosphere
- Imaging and pulse shape recording flow cytometry observations sporadically since 2017
  -> operationally since early 2020







#### CytoSense (CS) – Pulse-shape recording flow cytometer





#### Imaging FlowCytobot (IFCB)

- Imaging flow cytometer
- Images particles inside size range ~10-150µm
- Sample of 5ml with approx. 20 min interval
- Camera triggered by chlorophyll-*a* or • scatter
- Even ~30 000 images / hour
- 150 µm mesh in IFCB inlet to prevent it from clocking

Records optical properties for each particle as they cross the laser beam, generating particle specific optical "fingerprints"

Sensors:

- FWS (R+L)
- SWS .
- FLR (Chla; 668-726 nm)
- . FLO (PC; 604-644 nm)
- FLY (PE; 553-577 nm) .
- . FLG (FITC; 502-538 nm)



#### Automated data pipeline and classification with Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

- Automated data pipeline and classification system<sup>2,3</sup>
- Information to end users in near-real-time
- Biovolumes<sup>4</sup> computed automatically -> information in µg/l
- Weighted F1-score of test data of our labeled image data set 0.95<sup>2</sup>
- Weighted F1-score of our evaluation data (59 natural samples annotated entirely) 0.83<sup>2</sup>
  - 11 classes F1 of 0.7-0.79
  - 6 classes F1 of 0.8-0.89
  - 10 classes F1 of 0.9-1.0
- For this study, an updated classifier version was used with similar performance for filamentous cyanobacteria
- Class-specific thresholds
- CNN architecture: pre-trained Resnet-18 (detailed description<sup>2</sup>)

<sup>1</sup>Kraft et al. (2021). First Application of IFCB High-Frequency Imaging-in-Flow Cytometry to Investigate Bloom-Forming Filamentous Cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea. Frontiers in Marine Sciences <sup>2</sup>Kraft et al. (2022). Towards operational phytoplankton recognition with automated high-throughput imaging, near real-time data processing, and convolutional neural networks. Front. Mar. Sci., 9 <sup>3</sup>https://github.com/veot/syke-pic

- Biovolume calculations described in Moberg & Sosik 2012, github.com/hsosik/ifcb-analysis
- Broblem with loop forming filaments
- -> a conversion is done for Dolichospermum sp. <sup>1</sup> and Nodularia spumigena loop forms (seperate classes from "straight" forms)





### Cyanobacteria bloom development in 2018, 2020, 2021 & 2022

- Initiation with water temperature of approx. 15°C with max. peak at approx. or close to 20°C
- Variation in regard of whole community biomass
- Peaks coincide with satellite based FCA



#### IFCB cyanobacteria, **CytoSense &** light microscopy

CS cyanobacteria (µg L<sup>-1</sup>)

750

500 -

250 -

250

500



- Same overall bloom development
- Good agreement between IFCB & CS
- Some differences in exact • biomass between IFCB & light microscopy







### IFCB cyanobacteria, Chl a & PC fluorescence & turbidity









## IFCB total community, Chl a & PC fluorescence & turbidity



#### **IFCB cyanobacteria & PC fluorescence on Finnmaid**









longitude [° E]

### **IFCB cyanobacteria & PC fluorescence on Finnmaid**



# Conclusions







- IFCB is a great instrument for following the blooms
- species-specific dynamics in high-frequency





- IFCB corresponds to CS and agrees with light microscopy on the higher biomass periods, however, some discrepancies in the magnitude of the total cyanobacteria and the species-specific biomasses – IFCB also agrees with other commonly used methods
- Strong relationship between IFCB cyanobacteria biomass and PC fluorescence in both high and low-biomass situations
- IFCB cyanobacteria biomass seems to go together with PC fluorescence also when looking at the preliminary data from Finnmaid
- High-frequency information about the community opens interesting possibilities to investigate species-specific dynamics in relation to environmental conditions, also potential for novel insights into changes in pigmentation through bloom development that can be linked to the overall state of the cells

# Thank you!

Team:

- Jukka Seppälä
- Lumi Haraguchi
- Pasi Ylöstalo
- Sami Kielosto
- Annaliina Skyttä
- Lauri Laakso
- Martti Honkanen







TIINA JA ANTTI HERLININ SÄÄTIÖ





